

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COURT OF APPEALS

---

In the Matter of SEAN MICHAEL GADETTE,  
Minor.

---

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, f/k/a  
FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

UNPUBLISHED  
June 22, 2006

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

TEGAN GADETTE,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

GARRET GADETTE,

Respondent.

---

No. 267512  
Macomb Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 2004-5713611-NA

Before: Davis, P.J., and Sawyer and Schuette, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (c)(ii), (g), and (j). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 3.977(J); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Although given adequate opportunity, respondent-appellant failed to demonstrate that she could maintain consistent employment or provide stable housing appropriate for an eighteen-month-old child.

Respondent-appellant's claim that she had a constitutional right to parent her child lacks merit. Although parents have a significant interest in the companionship, care, custody and management of their children and the interest is an element of liberty protected by due process, *In re JK*, 468 Mich 202, 210; 661 NW2d 216 (2003), the procedures provided for adjudicative hearings protect parents from the risk of erroneous deprivation of this interest. *In re Brock*, 442 Mich 101, 111; 499 NW2d 752 (1993). Once petitioner presented clear and convincing evidence of at least one ground for termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights, her liberty

interest no longer included the right to the custody and control of her child. *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich App 346, 355; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

Affirmed.

/s/ Alton T. Davis  
/s/ David H. Sawyer  
/s/ Bill Schuette